

I move that the Board rescind its acceptance of the Healthy Reconciliation Task Force Report that was submitted to the Board at its January 16, 2017 meeting for the following reasons:

1. The report was improperly prepared because all five task force members were not involved in evaluating the listening session information or in crafting the task force report to the Board.

2. The report lacks depth and breadth, in terms of important evaluative information, such as,
 - a. what were the issues and concerns identified as causes of discomfort?
 - b. how many of the 56 respondents identified the same issue or concern? What were those issues/concerns?
 - c. what was the spectrum of emotions provoked, how many respondents identified the same emotion(s)?
 - d. how many respondents offered suggestions for resolution? what were those suggestions? how many offered the same suggestion?

3. On page 9 of the Policy Manual, the section on Board Committees Principles, reads in part, "*Board committees may not speak or act for the Board* except when formally given such authority by Board action or controlling documents of the congregation for specific and time-limited purposes."

The Board did not authorize the Healthy Reconciliation Task Force to make any decision, let alone take any action, regarding the information collected in the listening sessions. When the Board founded the Task Force at its April

19, 2016 meeting, the Task Force was authorized to ‘report its recommendations.’

When the Board approved the Task Force Action Plan submitted at the June 20, 2016, meeting, it authorized the TF to ‘present to the Board the collected results of the listening sessions along with recommendations regarding action.’

4. There exist two divergent versions of the HRTF report: the one identified “HEALTHY RECONCILIATIONS [sic] SUB COMMITTEE REPORT January 5, 2017” which *was* submitted to the Board at its January 16th meeting and another, identified “HEALTHY RECONSILIATIONS [sic] SUB COMMITTEE REPORT [Submitted to and approved by the UUCGT Board of Trustees, January 2017 Board Meeting].” This second report is mis-identified as it is (a) not the report submitted to the Board on January 16th, and (b) it was not approved at the January 2017 Board meeting.